

Predicate Logic
(part 1)
(Chapter 2)

CSE 352 Artificial Intelligence
Professor Anita Wasilewska
Lecture Notes (3)

Predicate Logic Language

Symbols:

1. P, Q, R, \dots **predicates symbols**, denote relations in “real life”, countably infinite set
2. x, y, z, \dots **variables**, countably infinite set
3. c_1, c_2, \dots **constants**, countably infinite set
4. f, g, h, \dots **functional symbols**, may be empty, denote functions in “real life”
5. **Propositional connectives:**
 $\vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \neg, \Leftrightarrow$
6. **Symbols for quantifiers**
 $\forall x$ – universal quantifier reads: For all x ...
 $\exists x$ – existential quantifier reads: There is x ...

Formulas of Predicate Logic

We use symbols **1 - 6** to build **formulas** of predicate logic as follows

1. $P(x), Q(x,y), R(x) \dots R(c_1), Q(x, c_3), P(c), \dots$
are called **atomic formulas** for any variables x, y, \dots and constants c, c_1, c_2, \dots
2. **All atomic formulas are formulas ;**
3. If **A,B** are **formulas** then (like in propositional logic):
 $(A \vee B), (A \wedge B), (A \Rightarrow B), (A \Leftrightarrow B), \neg A$
are **formulas**
4. **$\forall x A, \exists y A$** are **formulas**, for any variables x, y
5. **The set \mathcal{F} of all formulas is the smallest set that fulfills the conditions 1 -4.**

Examples

For example: let

$P(y)$, $Q(x,c)$, $R(z)$, $P_1(x, y, z)$ be **atomic** formulas, i.e.

$$P(x), Q(x,c), R(z), P_1(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{F}$$

Then we form some other formulas out of them as follows:

$$(P(y) \vee \neg Q(x, c)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

It is a **formula** with two **free variables** x , y .

$$\exists x (P(y) \vee \neg Q(x, c)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\forall y (P(y) \vee \neg Q(x, c)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\forall y \exists x (P(y) \vee \neg Q(x, c)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

etc

Free and Bound Variables

Quantifiers **bound** variables within formulas

For example: A is a formula:

$$\exists \mathbf{x} (P(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow \neg Q(\mathbf{x}, y))$$

all the **x**'s in A are **bounded** by $\exists \mathbf{x}$

y is a **free variable in A** that **can be bounded** by a quantifier, for example

$$\forall \mathbf{y} \exists \mathbf{x} (P(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow \neg Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$$

y got **bounded** and there **are no free** variables in A now.

A formula without free variables is called a **sentence**.

Logic and Mathematical Formulas

We often use **logic symbols** while writing mathematical statements in a more **symbolic** way

Example of a Mathematical Statement:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N} (x > 0 \wedge \exists y \in \mathbb{N} (y = 1))$$

1. Quantifier $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ is a quantifier with **restricted domain**
2. **Logic uses only $\forall x$, $\exists y$**
3. **$x > 0$ and $y = 1$** are mathematical statement about “real relation” >
4. **Logic uses symbols P, Q, R...** for example **$R(y, c_1)$ for $y = 1$ and $P(x, c_2)$ for $x > 0$** where **c_1 and c_2 are constants** representing numbers 1 and 0, respectively.

Translation of Mathematical Statements to Logic

Consider a **Mathematical Statement**:

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{N} (x > 0 \wedge \exists y \in \mathbf{N} (y = 1))$$

$x \in \mathbf{N}$ – we translate it as one argument predicate $Q(x)$

$x > 0$ – as $P(x, c_1)$, $y = 1$ – as $R(y, c_2)$ and get

$$\forall Q(x) (P(x, c_1) \wedge \exists Q(y) R(y, c_2))$$

↑ Logic formula

But this is not yet a proper formula since we cannot have quantifiers $\forall Q(x)$, $\exists Q(y)$ but only $\forall x$, $\exists x$.

$\forall Q(x)$, $\exists Q(y)$ are called **quantifiers with restricted domain**

Logic Formula Corresponding to our Mathematical Statement

We need to “get rid” of **quantifiers with restricted domain** i.e. to translate them into **logic quantifiers**: $\forall x, \exists x$

$\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N}$ are restricted quantifiers

↑ certain **predicate** $P(x)$

General: restricted domain quantifiers are :

$\forall P(x), \exists Q(x)$

Restricted Domain Existential Quantifier

$$\exists_{P(x)} Q(x) \equiv \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

↑ restricted ↑ logic, not restricted

Example (mathematical formulas):

$\exists x \neq 1 (x > 0 \Rightarrow x + y > 5)$ - **restricted**

$\exists x ((x \neq 1) \wedge (x > 0 \Rightarrow x + y > 5))$ - **not restricted**

↑ $P(x, y, c)$

English statement:

Some students are good.

Logic Translation (restricted domain):

$$\exists_{S(x)} G(x)$$

Predicates are :

$S(x)$ – x is a student

$G(x)$ – x is good

TRANSLATION:

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge G(x))$$

Restricted Quantifiers and Logic Quantifiers

Translation for universal quantifier

Restricted

Logic (Non-restricted)

$$\forall_{P(x)} Q(x) \quad \equiv \quad \forall x (P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x))$$

Example (mathematical)

$\forall x \in \mathbf{N} (x = 1 \vee x < 0)$ restricted domain

$\equiv \forall x (x \in \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow (x = 1 \vee x < 0))$ – non-restricted

Translation of Mathematic statements to Logic formulas

Mathematical statement:

$$\forall x (x \in \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow (x = 1 \vee x < 0))$$

$x \in \mathbf{N}$ – translates to $\mathbf{N}(x)$

$x < 0$ – translates to $\mathbf{P}(x, c_1)$

$x < y$ – $<$ is a 2 argument relation \equiv two argument predicate $\mathbf{P}(x, y)$, x, y are variables

0 – constant – denote by c_1

$x = 1$ - $=$ is a two argument predicate $\mathbf{Q}(x, y)$,

$x = 1$, - 1 is constant denoted by c_2 ,

$x = 1$ translates to $\mathbf{Q}(x, c_2)$

Corresponding logic formula:

$$\forall x (\mathbf{N}(x) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Q}(x, c_2) \vee \mathbf{P}(x, c_1)))$$

Remark

Mathematical statement: $x + y = 5$

We re-write it as

$= (+ (x, y), 5)$

Given $x = 2, y = 1$, we get $+(2,1) = 3$ and the statement:

$= (3,5)$ is FALSE (F)

Predicates always returns F or T

We really need also **function** symbols (like +, etc..) to translate mathematical statements to logic, even if we could use only relations as functions are special relations

This is why in **formal definition of the predicate language we often** we have 2 sets of symbols

1. **Predicate** symbols which can be **true or false** in proper domains
2. **Functions** symbols (**formally called terms**)

Translations to Logic

Rules:

1. **Identify** the domain: always as set $X \neq \emptyset$
2. **Identify** predicates (simple: atomic)
3. **Identify** functions (if needed)
4. **Identify** the connectives $\vee, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \neg, \Leftrightarrow$
5. **Identify** the quantifiers $\forall x, \exists x$
Write a formula using only symbols for 2, 3, 4
6. **Use restricted domain quantifier translation rules**, where needed

Translations Examples

Translate:

For every bird there are some birds that are white

Predicates:

$B(x)$ – x is a bird

$W(x)$ – x is white

Restricted:

$$\forall_{B(x)} \exists_{B(x)} W(x)$$

Logic

$$\forall x (B(x) \Rightarrow \exists x (B(x) \wedge W(x)))$$

OR (re-name variables)

$$\forall x (B(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (B(y) \wedge W(y)))$$

By laws of Quantifiers , don't do this step yet!! We will study the laws later
we can re-write it as

$$\forall x \exists y (B(x) \Rightarrow (B(y) \wedge W(y)))$$

Example

For every student there is a student that is an elephant

$B(x)$ - x is a student

$W(x)$ – x is an elephant

$\forall_{B(x)} \exists_{B(x)} W(x)$

$\forall_{B(x)} \exists x(B(x) \wedge W(x))$

$\forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow \exists x(B(x) \wedge W(x)))$ (logic formula)

Translations Example

Translate: **Some patients like all doctors**

Predicates:

$P(x)$ – x is a patient

$D(x)$ – x is a doctor

$L(x,y)$ – x likes y

$\exists_{P(x)} \forall_{D(y)} L(x,y)$

There is a **patient(x)**, such that for all **doctors(y)**, x likes y

$\exists x(P(x) \wedge \forall y(D(y) \Rightarrow L(x,y)))$

(by law of quantifiers to be studied later **we can** “pull out $\forall y$ ”)

$\exists x \forall y (P(x) \wedge (D(y) \Rightarrow L(x,y)))$