

**CSE 541 – Logic in Computer Science**  
**Sample Exam Problems**

The following is a sample of problems from previous exams.

**1. Predicate Logic Models**

Consider the following sentences.

$$\phi_1: \forall x \neg P(x, x)$$

$$\phi_2: \forall x \forall y \forall z [P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)]$$

$$\phi_3: \forall x \forall y [P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y))]$$

$$\phi_4: \neg \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

- (a) Give a model  $\mathcal{M}_1$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_1 \models \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \phi_4$ .
- (b) Give a model  $\mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_2 \models \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \neg \phi_4$ .

**2. Natural deduction**

Give natural deduction proofs for the following sequents.

- (a)  $P \rightarrow Q \vdash (P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg P$
- (b)  $(P \vee Q) \vee (R \rightarrow S) \vdash (S \vee Q) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$

Hint. Use the  $\vee$ -rule and LEM.

**3. Natural deduction**

Use the standard natural deduction rules to prove the following sequents.

- (a)  $\forall y P(c, y), \forall x \forall y [P(x, y) \rightarrow P(f(x), f(y))] \vdash \exists z [P(c, z) \wedge P(z, f(f(c)))]$   
(where  $P$  is a binary predicate symbol,  $f$  a unary function symbol, and  $c$  a constant).
- (b)  $\exists x P(x, x), \forall x [\exists y P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x)] \vdash \exists x Q(x)$

**4. Unification**

- (a) Determine whether the unification problem

$$\{x \stackrel{?}{=} f(y, g(y)), g(f(z, a)) \stackrel{?}{=} g(y)\}$$

is solvable. Give a most general unifier or else explain why there is no unifier. (Note that  $a$  is a constant, whereas  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are variables.)

- (b) Give terms  $s$ ,  $t$ , and  $u$  such that (i)  $s$  and  $t$  have exactly one unifier, (ii)  $t$  and  $u$  have infinitely many unifiers, and (iii)  $s$  and  $u$  are not unifiable.

$s =$

$t =$

$u =$

## 5. Clause Logic

Recall that a clause is a disjunction of literals (atomic formulas or negations thereof). Find *unsatisfiable* sets of clauses as specified. If there is no unsatisfiable set of clauses that meets the stated restrictions, explain why.

- (a) Each clause in  $S_1$  must contain both positive and negative literals. For example,  $p(x) \vee \neg q(y)$  qualifies but  $p(x)$  and  $\neg p(x) \vee \neg q(y)$  do not.
- (b) No clause in  $S_2$  must contain both positive and negative literals. For example,  $p(x) \vee q(y)$  qualifies but  $\neg p(x) \vee q(y)$  does not.

## 6. Skolemization

- (a) Let  $\phi$  be the sentence  $\neg[\exists x P(x, x) \vee \neg\exists y\exists z\forall x(P(x, y) \vee P(x, z))]$ .
- Convert  $\phi$  to prenex form.
  - Skolemize the formula you obtained in the first part.
  - Use resolution to determine whether the skolemized formula from the second part is unsatisfiable.

## 7. Resolution

Use resolution to determine whether the following set of clauses is unsatisfiable:

$$\neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \vee R(x, f(x)), \quad (1)$$

$$\neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \vee S(f(x)) \quad (2)$$

$$\neg R(a, x) \vee T(x), \quad (3)$$

$$\neg T(x) \vee \neg Q(x), \quad (4)$$

$$\neg T(x) \vee \neg S(x), \quad (5)$$

$$P(a), \quad (6)$$

$$T(a). \quad (7)$$

## 8. Compactness

- (a) Give a sentence  $\phi_k$  that expresses that “there are at least  $k$  distinct elements.”
- (b) Prove that there is no set  $S$  of predicate logic formulas that is satisfied exactly by those models that have a finite domain.