

Chapter 4 (book as it is)

+ more

$m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Divisibility def *only positive m* **B. DEF**

$$m | n \text{ iff } m > 0 \wedge \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} (n = mk)$$

$m \in \mathbb{Z}$

BOOK NOTATION $(m \setminus n)$, but I will
USE STANDARD NOTATION: $m | n$

Greatest common divisor of $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

BOOK DEF

$$\gcd(m, n) = \max\{k : k | m \wedge k | n\}$$

missing! $k > 0$ $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

In my ~~class~~ number theory lecture notes I use symbol (m, n) for $\gcd(m, n)$ i.e.

$$(m, n) = \gcd(m, n)$$

Different definition

BOOK DEF

Least common multiple of $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) = \min\{k : k > 0 \wedge m | k \wedge n | k\}$$

missing $k \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n > 0$

~~TOGETHER~~ In my number theory l. notes

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) = [m, n]$$

different notation

Lecture notes consider only positive multiples as do the book.

Re-capture definitions (accepting book
def. of divisibility) 2

$$\gcd(m, n) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k > 0 \wedge k | m \wedge k | n\}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k > 0 \wedge m | k \wedge n | k\}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. - because we
defined divisibility $m | n$ only for $m > 0$

Lecture notes define $\gcd(m, n)$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (as
divisibility is defined for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

so my lecture notes (and classical
number theory definitions) are
MORE GENERAL than our Book DEFNS.

In EUCLID ALGORITHM we assumed
that $n, m > 0$, and $n \geq m$.

and so DOES the Book. Lecture notes
EUCLID ALGORITHM computes

$$\gcd(m, n)$$

for $m, n > 0$

and $m \leq n$

EUCLID ALG FACTORING

For any $n > 0, m > 0, n > m$
 there are $u', v' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$u'm + v'n = \gcd(m, n)$$

we compute u', v'

Take $r = n \bmod m$; i.e.

we go back
to classical
Euclid

$r = n - \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor m$, of course

$$r < m, n$$

$$\gcd(r, m) = \gcd(m, n)$$

We compute

$$\gcd(r, m) = \bar{r}r + \bar{m}m$$

$$= \bar{r}(n - \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor m) + \bar{m}m$$

$$= \bar{r}n - \bar{r} \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor m + \bar{m}m$$

$$= (\bar{m} - \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor \bar{r}) \bar{r} + \bar{r}n$$

$$= \gcd(m, n)$$

$$m = 12, n = 18$$

$$r = 6$$

$$\gcd(6, 18) = 6$$

$$= 1 \cdot 6 + 0 \cdot 18$$

$$\bar{r} = 1, \bar{m} = 0$$

We get

$$u' = \bar{m} - \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor \bar{r}$$

$$v' = \bar{r}$$

$$u' = 1, v' = -1$$

$$\gcd(12, 18) = 1 \cdot 12 + (-1) \cdot 18$$

Remark.

The existence of m', n' is really a proof that E.A. produced $\gcd(m, n)$ (look at the proof in the lecture notes!) Here is a sketch (from the book)

Assume that we computed (by our algorithm) result of ALG $\gcd(m, n) = d$ and

$m'm + n'n = d$. Question? Is

it true that $m'm + n'n = d$ is the \gcd ? Let d_1 be a common divisor of m and n , so $d_1 | m'm + n'n = d$ i.e. $d_1 | d$. We easily prove ^{that use of the algorithm} that $d_1 | m$ and $d_1 | n$ (d is the divisor) Hence d must be the \gcd (as any other divisor divides d)

We can think that ~~EA~~ ^{EA} + FACT provides also a proof of its own correctness (NOT A FORMAL proof) Algorithm like that are called

SELF-CERTIFYING.

FACT 2

For any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0$
 $k|n \wedge k|m, \text{ iff } k|\gcd(n, m)$

Proof \Rightarrow

$k|n \wedge k|m, \text{ so } k|\underbrace{n'n + m'm}_{\text{FACT 1}} = \gcd(n, m)$

Assume \Leftarrow

$k|\gcd(n, m)$; but $\gcd(n, m)|n$ and $\gcd(n, m)|m$, so $k|n$ and $k|m$

SUMS

Book (4.8)

FACT 3

$$\sum_{m|n} a_m = \sum_k \sum_{m>0} a_m [n=mk]$$

Proof:

$$\sum_{m|n} a_m = \sum_m a_m [m|n] = [n|n] \sum_m a_m [m|n] = \sum_m a_m [n=mk] [m>0]$$

$$= \sum_{m|k} a_m [n=mk] [m>0]$$

$$= \sum_k \sum_{m>0} a_m [n=mk]$$

FACT 4

(4.7)

$$\sum_{m|n} a_m = \sum_{\substack{m \\ m|n}} a_{\frac{n}{m}}$$

Book has $m|n$ instead of $n|m$ WRONG!

proof

From fact 3 $\sum_{m|n} a_m = \sum_k \sum_{m>0} a_m [n=mk]$

Evaluate now

$$\sum_m a_{\frac{n}{m}} = \sum_t a_t [t = \frac{n}{m} \wedge t > 0]$$

$$= \sum_t a_t [tm = n \wedge t > 0] \quad (\text{by Fact 3})$$

$t|m$

$$= \sum_{t|n} a_t [t|n]$$

re-name t by m

$$= \sum_m a_m [m|n]$$

Example

$n=12$ $\sum_{m|12} a_m = \sum_{m \in K} a_m = a_{12} + a_6 + a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1$

$$K = \{m: m|12\} = \{12, 6, 4, 3, 1\}$$

$$\sum_m a_{\frac{12}{m}} = \sum_{m \in K_1} a_m = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_6 + a_{12}$$

$$K_1 = \{m: \frac{12}{m} \in K\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$$

FACTS

(4.9)

8

$$\sum_{m|n} \sum_{k|m} a_{k,m} = \sum_{k|m} \sum_{\ell | (\frac{m}{k})} a_{k, k\ell}$$

① = ②

① = $\sum_{m|n} \sum_{k|m} a_{k,m} = \sum_m \sum_k a_{k,m} [m|n][k|m]$

$[m|n] = [n = mj \wedge m > 0]$
 $[k|m] = [m = k\ell \wedge k > 0]$

= $\sum_j \sum_{m>0} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{k>0} a_{k,m} [n = mj][m = k\ell]$

= $\sum_{\ell, j} \sum_{m, k > 0} a_{k,m} [n = mj][m = k\ell]$

$[n = mj][m = k\ell] = [n = jk\ell]$

= $\sum_j \sum_{k, \ell > 0} a_{k, k\ell} [n = jk\ell]$

$m > 0, k > 0$
 $m = k\ell > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \ell > 0$

$$\textcircled{2} = \sum_{k|m} \sum_{\ell | \frac{n}{k}} a_{k, \ell}$$

$$= \sum_k \sum_{\ell} a_{k, \ell} [k|m] [\ell | \frac{n}{k}]$$

$$[k|m] = [\sum_{n=kj \wedge k>0}]$$

$$[\ell | \frac{n}{k}] = [\frac{n}{k} = \ell e \wedge \ell > 0] = [\sum_{n=k\ell e \wedge k>0}]$$

$$= \sum_{k>0} \sum_j \sum_{\ell>0} \sum_m a_{k, \ell} [n=kj] [n=k\ell e]$$

$$= \sum_j \sum_m \sum_{k, \ell > 0} a_{k, \ell} [j = \frac{n}{k}] [n = k\ell e]$$

$$= \sum_{j = \frac{n}{k}} \underbrace{\sum_m \sum_{k, \ell > 0} a_{k, \ell} [n = m\ell e]}_S$$

evaluate

$$\sum_{j = \frac{n}{k}} S = S \sum_{j = \frac{n}{k}} 1 = S \cdot 1 = S$$

$$\textcircled{2} = \sum_m \sum_{k, \ell > 0} a_{k, \ell} \sum_{n = k\ell e} = \textcircled{1}$$

ONE more step!

We proved

10

$$\textcircled{2} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k, l > 0} a_{k, kl} [n = mlk]$$

Re-name m by j i.e. $m = j$

$$\textcircled{2} = \sum_j \sum_{k, l > 0} a_{k, kl} [n = jlk] = \textcircled{1}$$

end

PRIMES - PRIME NUMBERS

A positive integer is called **PRIME** if it has only two divisors 1 and itself. We assume (CONVENTION) that 1 is not prime. **DEF: PRIME**

$p \in \text{PRIMES}$ iff $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > 1$ and $p \in P$
 $\forall k (k | p \implies k = 1 \vee k = p)$

SOME PRIMES: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, ..

Is 91 prime? NO! $91 = 7 \cdot 13$ //

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is COMPOSITE iff $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $m | n$ and $m \neq 1, m \neq n$

COMPOSITE (def)

COMPOSITE numbers have ~~two~~ more than TWO DIVISORS
Directly from
by definition we get

FACT

$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad m \in \text{PRIMES} \vee m \in \text{COMPOSITE}$
and $\text{PRIMES} \cap \text{COMPOSITE} = \emptyset$

2 is only even prime !!

PRIMES and DIVISIBILITY

\mathbb{P} -set of PRIME numbers

FACT 1

$\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad p \perp n \text{ or } p | n$

Follows from
 $\gcd(p, n) = 1$
or p

DEF

$n \perp m$ iff $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

m, n are called RELATIVELY PRIME

Bool notation

FACT 2

A product of two numbers is divisible by a prime p only when p divides one of the factors. ¹²

Formally:

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} (p \mid mn \Rightarrow p \mid m \vee p \mid n)$$

Assume not true, i.e. there is p, m, n such that $p \mid m \cdot n \wedge p \nmid m \wedge p \nmid n$.

$p \nmid m$, so by FACT 1 $p \perp m$. Now when

$p \mid m \cdot n$ and $p \perp m$, we get $p \mid n$.

Contradiction with $p \nmid n$.

FACT 3

A product $q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$ of prime numbers (factors) q_i is divisible by a prime p only when $p = q_i$, for some q_i .

FACT 3 (Formal)

$$\forall p, q_1, \dots, q_n \in P \left(p \mid \prod_{k=1}^n q_k \Rightarrow \exists i \text{ s.t. } (p = q_i) \right) \quad 13$$

Proof. Let $p \mid \prod_{k=1}^n q_k$. By lemma 2 $p \mid q_i$ for some q_i . But $p > 1$, $p, q_i \in P$, so $p = q_i$.

FACT 4

$1 \in P$

FORMAL

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n > 1 \Rightarrow \exists p \in P \ p \mid n) \text{ i.e.}$$

Every natural number n , $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime.

When $p \in P$, this is evident. When n is composite, it can be factored $n = n_1 n_2$, where $n_1 > 1$. The smallest possible one of these divisors n_1 must be prime.

FACT 5 MAIN FACTORIZATION THEOREM

Every composite number can be factored UNIQUELY into prime factors.

The IDEA of FACT 5, as all lemmas 1-5 we use to prove it is found in EUCLID'S Elements in Books VII and IX

Proof of the MAIN THEOREM

14

STEP ONE We show that every composite number $n > 1$ is the product of prime factors. By **FACT 4**, there is $p_1 \in P$ such that $n = p_1 n_1$. If n_1 is composite,

$n_1 = p_2 n_2$ ($p_2 \in P$) again by **FACT 4**.

We continue this process with decreasing number n_1, n_2, \dots until some n_k becomes

a prime and get $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$ ($p_k = n_k$)

STEP TWO. Now we have to prove UNIQUENESS. Assume that we have TWO different prime factorizations

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 \dots q_e \quad (*) \quad p_i \neq q_i$$

Each $p_i | n$, so each $p_i | \prod_{k=1}^e q_k$, so by

FACT 3 $p_i = q_j$ for some j .

Conversely, $q_i | n$, so $q_i = p_k$, some k .

This proves that both sides of (*) contain the same primes. The only difference might be that a prime p could occur a greater number of times on one side than on the other. In this case we CANCEL p sufficient number of times and get equation with p on one side, not on other. CONTRAD. from FACT 3.

MAIN PRIME FACTORIZATION THEOREM

15

Real representation

(formal)

For any $n \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $d_i \geq 1$

$p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_r \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_r^{\alpha_r} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{P}} p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

and the representation is unique

p_i 's are different PRIME FACTORS of n

α_i is the MULTIPLICITY, i.e. the

number of times p_i occurs in

the prime factorization

We write it shortly as

BOOK STATEMENT

$$n = \prod_p p^{\alpha_p} \quad \alpha_p \geq 0$$

MORE GENERAL FORM

This is infinite product; but for particular n , all but few exponents $\alpha_p = 0$ ($p^0 = 1$). Hence for a given n it is really a finite product.

We know, by MAIN PRIME FAC. TH that for any $n > 1$ is represented uniquely

as $n = \prod_p p^{m_p} \quad m_p \geq 0, p \in P \quad d=n$

so we can think of the sequence

$(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_p, \dots)$ as a NUMBER SYSTEM

for positive integers.

Example

$12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 2^2 \cdot 3$, so

$12 = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (2, 1)$

$18 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3^2$

$18 = (1, 2, 0, 0, \dots) = (1, 2)$

We assume P is ordered by \leq

$p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots$
 $2 < 3 < 5 < 7 < 11 < 13 < \dots$

$n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{n_i} \quad n_i \geq 0$

$n = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$

General

$m = \prod_p p^{m_p}$

$n = \prod_p p^{n_p}$

$k = m \cdot n = \prod_p p^{m_p} \cdot \prod_p p^{n_p} = \prod_p p^{(m_p + n_p)}$

FACT 6

$k = m \cdot n \iff k_p = m_p + n_p \text{ for all } p$

FACT 7

$$m = \prod_p p^{m_p}, \quad n = \prod_p p^{n_p}$$

$$m | n \quad \text{iff} \quad m_p \leq n_p$$

$m | n$ iff there is k , $n = m \cdot k$, by FACT 6
 iff $n_p = m_p + k_p$ iff $m_p \leq n_p$

FACT 8

$$k = \gcd(m, n) \quad \text{iff} \quad k_p = \min(m_p, n_p)$$

$$k = \text{lcm}(m, n) \quad \text{iff} \quad k_p = \max(m_p, n_p)$$

Directly from FACT 7

Example

$$\textcircled{1} 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3^1, \quad 18 = 2^1 \cdot 3^2$$

$$\gcd(12, 18) = 2^{\min(2,1)} \cdot 3^{\min(1,2)} = 2^1 \cdot 3^1 = 6$$

$$\text{lcm}(12, 18) = 2^{\max(2,1)} \cdot 3^{\max(1,2)} = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 = 36$$

$$\textcircled{2} m = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^0, \quad n = 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 2^5 \cdot 3^2, \quad \text{lcm}(m, n) = 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$$