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## Mergeable Heap Operations

Make-Heap $(x)$ : return a new heap containing only element $x$ Insert $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ): insert element $x$ into heap $H$

Minimum( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ): return a pointer to an element in $H$ containing the smallest key

Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ): delete an element with the smallest key from $H$ and return a pointer to that element

Union( $\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ): return a new heap containing all elements of heaps $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, and destroy the input heaps

More mergeable heap operations:
Decrease-Key ( $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{k}$ ): change the key of element $x$ of heap $H$ to $k$ assuming $k \leq$ the current key of $x$

Delete( $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ): delete element $x$ from heap $H$

## Mergeable Heap Operations

| Heap <br> Operation | Binary Heap <br> (worst-case ) | Binomial Heap <br> (amortized ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MAKE-HEAP | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| InSERT | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| MINIMUM | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| EXTRACT-MIN | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| UNION | $\Theta(n)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| DECREASE-KEY | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | - |
| DELETE | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | - |

## Binomial Trees

A binomial tree $B_{k}$ is an ordered tree defined recursively as follows.

- $B_{0}$ consists of a single node
- For $k>0, B_{k}$ consists of two $B_{k-1}$ 's that are linked together so that the root of one is the left child of the root of the other



## Binomial Trees

Some useful properties of $B_{k}$ are as follows.

1. it has exactly $2^{k}$ nodes
2. its height is $k$
3. there are exactly $\binom{k}{i}$ nodes at depth $i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$
4. the root has degree $k$
5. if the children of the root are numbered from left to right by $k-1, k-2, \ldots, 0$,
 then child $i$ is the root of a $B_{i}$

## Binomial Trees

Prove: $B_{k}$ has exactly $\binom{k}{i}$ nodes at depth $i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$.
Proof: Suppose $B_{k}$ has $s_{k, i}$ nodes at depth $i$.

$$
s_{k, i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i<0 \text { or } i>k, \\ 1 & \text { if } i=k=0, \\ s_{k-1, i}+s_{k-1, i-1} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

$$
\stackrel{B_{0}}{-} \rightarrow s_{0,0}=1
$$



## Binomial Trees

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{k, i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i<0 \text { or } i>k, \\
1 & \text { if } i=k=0, \\
s_{k-1, i}+s_{k-1, i-1} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} \\
\Rightarrow s_{k, i}=[k \geq i \geq 0]\left(s_{k-1, i}+s_{k-1, i-1}+[i=k=0]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Generating function: $S_{k}(z)=s_{k, 0}+s_{k, 1} z+s_{k, 2} z^{2}+\ldots+s_{k, k} z^{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{k \geq 0}(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_{k, i} z^{i} & =\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_{k-1, i} z^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} s_{k-1, i-1} z^{i}+[k=0] \sum_{i=0}^{k}[i=0] z^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} s_{k-1, i} z^{i}+z \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} s_{k-1, i} z^{i}+[k=0] \\
& =S_{k-1}(z)+z S_{k-1}(z)+[k=0]=(1+z) S_{k-1}(z)+[k=0] \\
\Rightarrow S_{k}(z) & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k=0, \\
(1+z) S_{k-1}(z) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} \\
& =(1+z)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficient of $z^{i}$ from both sides: $s_{k, i}=\binom{k}{i}$

## Binomial Heaps

A binomial heap $H$ is a set of binomial trees that satisfies the following properties:


## Binomial Heaps

A binomial heap $H$ is a set of binomial trees that satisfies the following properties:

1. each node has a key
2. each binomial tree in $H$ obeys the min-heap property
3. for any integer $k \geq 0$, there is at most one binomial tree in $H$ whose root node has degree $k$


## Rank of Binomial Trees

The rank of a binomial tree node $x$, denoted $\operatorname{rank}(x)$, is the number of children of $x$.

The figure on the right shows the rank of each node in $B_{3}$.

Observe that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\operatorname{root}\left(B_{k}\right)\right)=k$.
Rank of a binomial tree is the rank of
 its root. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{k}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\operatorname{root}\left(B_{k}\right)\right)=k
$$

## A Basic Operation: Linking Two Binomial Trees

Given two binomial trees of the same rank, say, two $B_{k}$ 's, we link them in constant time by making the root of one tree the left child of the root of the other, and thus producing a $B_{k+1}$.

If the trees are part of a binomial min-heap, we always make the root with the smaller key the parent, and the one with the larger key
 the child.

Ties are broken arbitrarily.






## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.



## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.



## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.

UNION $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ works in exactly the same way as binary addition.
Let $n_{i}$ be the number of nodes in $H_{i}(i=1,2)$.
Then the largest binomial tree in $H_{i}$ is a $B_{k_{i}}$, where $k_{i}=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n_{i}\right\rfloor$.

Thus $H_{i}$ can be treated as a $\left(k_{i}+1\right)$ bit binary number $x_{i}$, where bit $j$ is 1 if $H_{i}$ contains a $B_{j}$, and 0 otherwise.

If $H=\operatorname{Union}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$, then $H$ can be viewed as a $k=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor$ bit binary number $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$, where $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$.


## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$.

UnIon $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ works in exactly the same way as binary addition.
Initially, $H$ does not contain any binomial trees.
Melding starts from $B_{0}$ ( LSB ) and continues up to $B_{k}$ (MSB).

At each location $j \in[0, k]$, one encounters at most three ( 3 ) $B_{j}$ 's:

- at most 1 from $H_{1}$ (input ),
- at most 1 from $H_{2}$ (input), and
- if $j>0$, at most 1 from $H$ ( carry )



## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.

UNION $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ works in exactly the same way as binary addition.
When the number of $B_{j}$ 's at location $j \in[0, k]$ is:

- 0 : location $j$ of $H$ is set to nil
- 1: location $j$ of $H$ points to that $B_{j}$
- 2: the two $B_{j}$ 's are linked to produce a $B_{j+1}$ which is stored as a carry at location $j+1$ of $H$, and location $j$ is set to nil
- 3: two $B_{j}$ 's are linked to produce a $B_{j+1}$ which is stored as a carry at location $j+1$ of $H$, and the $3^{\text {rd }} B_{j}$ is
 stored at location $j$


## Binomial Heap Operations: UNION $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.

UnIon $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ works in exactly the same way as binary addition.
Worst case cost of $\operatorname{Union}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is clearly $\Theta(\log n)$, where $n$ is the total number of nodes in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

Observe that this operation fills out
$k+1$ locations of $H$, where $k=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor$.
It does only $\Theta(1)$ work for each location.

Hence, total cost is $\Theta(k)=\Theta(\log n)$.


## Binomial Heap Operations: $\operatorname{UNION}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}\right)$.

One can improve the performance of $\operatorname{Union}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ as follows.
W.l.o.g., suppose $H_{2}$ is at least as large as $H_{1}$, i.e., $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$.

We also assume that $H_{2}$ has enough space to store at least up to $B_{k}$, where, $k=\left\lfloor\log _{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\right\rfloor$.

Then instead of melding $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ to a new heap $H$, we can meld them in-place at $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.


After melding till $B_{k_{1}}$, we stop once the carry stops propagating.

The cost is $\Omega\left(k_{1}\right)$, but $\mathrm{O}\left(k_{2}\right)$.
 Worst-case cost is still $\mathrm{O}(k)=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$.

## Binomial Heap Operations: INSERT( $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x})$.

Step 1: $H^{\prime} \leftarrow \operatorname{MaKe-Heap}(x)$
Takes $\Theta(1)$ time.


Step 2: $H \leftarrow \operatorname{Union}\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ (in-place at $H$ )

Takes $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ time, where $n$ is the number of nodes in $H$.

Thus the worst-case cost of Insert $(H, x)$ is $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$, where $n$ is the number of items already
 in the heap.

## Binomial Heap Operations: Extract-Min (H).

Step 1: remove minimum element

Step 3: remove the root of the binomial tree with the minimum element, and form a new binomial heap from the children of the removed root


## Binomial Heap Operations: ExTrACT-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ).



## Binomial Heap Operations

## Heap <br> Operation

## Worst-case

| MAKe-Heap | $\Theta(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Insert | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| MInimum | $\Theta(1)$ |
| EXtract-Min | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| Union | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |

## Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

We maintain a credit account for every tree in the heap, and always maintain the following invariant:

$$
\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=1
$$

Make-Heap( $\boldsymbol{x}$ ):
actual cost, $c_{i}=1$ ( for creating the singleton heap )
extra charge, $\delta_{i}=1$ ( for storing in the credit account of the new tree )
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\delta_{i}=2=\Theta(1)$

## Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

We maintain a credit account for every tree in the heap, and always maintain the following invariant:

$$
\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=1
$$

$\operatorname{LiNk}\left(B_{k}^{(1)}, B_{k}^{(2)}\right):$
actual cost, $c_{i}=1$ ( for linking the two trees )
We use credit $\left(B_{k}^{(1)}\right)$ pay for this actual work.
Let $B_{k+1}$ be the newly created tree. We restore the credit invariant by transferring $\operatorname{credit}\left(B_{k}^{(2)}\right)$ to $\operatorname{credit}\left(B_{k+1}\right)$.

Hence, amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\delta_{i}=1-1=0$

## Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

We maintain a credit account for every tree in the heap, and always maintain the following invariant:

$$
\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=1
$$

Insert ( $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ):
Amortized cost of $\operatorname{Make}-\operatorname{Heap}(x)$ is $=2$
Then Union ( $H, H^{\prime}$ ) is simply a sequence of free LINK operations with only a constant amount of additional work that do not create any new trees. Thus the credit invariant is maintained, and the amortized cost of this step is $=1$.

Hence, amortized cost of InSERT, $\hat{c}_{i}=2+1=3=\Theta(1)$

## Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

We maintain a credit account for every tree in the heap, and always maintain the following invariant:

$$
\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=1
$$

## Union $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ :

Union $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ includes a sequence of free Link operations that maintain the credit invariant.

But it also includes $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ other operations that are not free ( e.g., consider melding a heap with $n=2^{k}$ elements with one containing $n-1$ elements ). These operations do not create new trees (and so do not violate the credit invariant), and each cost $\Theta(1)$.

Hence, amortized cost of Union, $\hat{c}_{i}=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$

## Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

We maintain a credit account for every tree in the heap, and always maintain the following invariant:

$$
\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=1
$$

## Extract-Min( $H$ ):

Steps 1 \& 2: The $\Theta(1)$ actual cost is paid for by the credit released by the deleted tree.

Step 3: Exposes $O(\log n)$ new trees, and we charge 1 unit of extra credit for storing in the credit account of each such tree.

Step 4: Performs a Union that has $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ amortized cost. Hence, amortized cost of Extract-Min, $\hat{c}_{i}=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.
Clearly, $\Phi\left(D_{0}\right)=0$ ( no trees in the data structure initially ) and for all $i>0, \Phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq 0$ (\#trees cannot be negative )

Make-Heap( $\boldsymbol{x}$ ):
actual $\operatorname{cost}, c_{i}=1$ (for creating the singleton heap ) potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=c$ ( as \#trees increases by 1 )
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=1+c=\Theta(1)$

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.
Insert ( $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ):
The number of trees increases by 1 initially.
Then the operation scans $k>0$ ( say) locations of the array of tree pointers. Observe that we use tree linking $(k-1)$ times each of which reduces the number of trees by 1 .
actual cost, $c_{i}=1+k$
potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=c(1-(k-1))$
$=c-c(k-1)$
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=2+c-(c-1)(k-1)$
For $c \geq 1$, we have, $\hat{c}_{i} \leq 2+c=\Theta(1)$

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.
Union( $\left.H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ :
Suppose the operation scans $k>0$ locations of the array of tree pointers, and uses the link operation $l$ times. Observe that $k>$ $l \geq 0$. Each link reduces the number of trees by 1 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { actual cost, } c_{i}=k \\
& \text { potential change, } \Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=-c \times l \\
& \text { amortized cost, } \hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=k-c \times l \\
& \text { Since } k=\mathrm{O}(\log n) \text { and } l=\mathrm{O}(\log n) \text {, we have, } \\
& \qquad \hat{c}_{i}=\mathrm{O}(\log n) \text { for any } c .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.

## Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ):

Let in Step 1: $r=$ rank of the tree with the smallest key
and in Step 4: $k=$ \#locations of pointer array scanned during UNION
$l=$ \#link operations during UNION
$t=$ \#trees in the heap after the UNION

Then actual cost, $c_{i}=1($ step 1$)+1(\operatorname{step} 2)+r(\operatorname{step} 3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +k(\text { step } 4: \text { union })+t(\text { step } 4: \text { update } \min \text { ptr }) \\
& =2+k+t+r
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.

## Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ):

Let in Step 1: $r=$ rank of the tree with the smallest key
and in Step 4: $k=$ \#locations of pointer array scanned during UNION
$l=$ \#link operations during UNION
$t=$ \#trees in the heap after the UNION
potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)$
$=c \times(r-1)$ (removing min element in step 1 removes 1 tree but creates $r$ new ones )

$$
-c \times l \quad(\text { linkings in step } 4
$$ reduces \#trees by $l$ )

## Amortized Analysis (Potential Method)

Potential Function,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\# \text { trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.

## Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ):

Let in Step 1: $r=$ rank of the tree with the smallest key
and in Step 4: $k=$ \#locations of pointer array scanned during UNION
$l=$ \#link operations during UNION
$t=$ \#trees in the heap after the UNION

$$
\text { actual cost, } c_{i}=2+k+t+r
$$

potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=c \times(r-l-1)$
Then amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=2+k+t+r+c \times(r-l-1)$
Since $k=\mathrm{O}(\log n), l=\mathrm{O}(\log n), t=\mathrm{O}(\log n) \& r=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$, we have, $\hat{c}_{i}=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ for any $c$.

## Binomial Heap Operations

## Heap <br> Operation

## Worst-case

## Amortized

| MAKe-Heap | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| InSert | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| MInImum | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| EXtract-Min | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| Union | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |

## Binomial Heaps with Lazy Union

We maintain pointers to the trees in a doubly linked circular list ( instead of an array ), but do not maintain a min pointer.


## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We maintain the following invariant: $\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=2$
Make-Heap $(\boldsymbol{x})$ : Create a singleton heap as before. Hence, amortized cost $=\Theta(1)$.
LiNk $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\mathbf{1})}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(2)}\right)$ : The two input trees have 4 units of saved credits of which 1 unit will be used to pay for the actual cost of linking, and 2 units will be saved as credit for the newly created tree. So, linking is still free, and it has 1 unused credit that can be used to pay for additional work if necessary.

Union( $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ): Simply concatenate the two root lists into one, and update the min pointer. Clearly, amortized cost $=\Theta(1)$. Insert $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ): This is Make-Heap followed by a Union. Hence, amortized cost $=\Theta(1)$.

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We maintain the following invariant: $\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=2$
Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ): Unlike in the array version, in this case we may have several trees of the same rank.

We create an array of length $\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1$ with each location containing a nil pointer. We use this array to transform the linked list version to array version.

We go through the list of trees of $H$, inserting them one by one into the array, and linking and carrying if neccessary so that finally we have at most one tree of each rank. We also create a min pointer. We now perform Extract-Min as in the array case.

Finally, we collect the nonempty trees from the array into a doubly linked list, and return.

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We maintain the following invariant: $\bigwedge_{B_{j} \in H} \operatorname{credit}\left(B_{j}\right)=2$
Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ): We only need to show that converting from linked list version to array version takes $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ amortized time.

Suppose we start with $t$ trees and perform $l$ links. So, we spend $\mathrm{O}(t+l)$ time overall.

As each link decreases the number of trees by 1 , after $l$ links we end up with $t-l$ trees. Since at that point we have at most one tree of each rank, we have $t-l \leq\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1$.

Thus $t+l=2 l+(t-l)=\mathrm{O}(l+\log n)$.
The $\mathrm{O}(l)$ part can be paid for by the $l$ extra credits from $l$ links.
We only charge the $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ part to Extract-Min.

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We use exactly the same potential function as in the previous version,
$\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times($ \#trees in the data structure after the $i$-th operation $)$,
where $c$ is a constant.
As before, clearly, $\Phi\left(D_{0}\right)=0$
and for all $i>0, \Phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq 0$
Make-Heap( $\boldsymbol{x}$ ):
actual $\operatorname{cost}, c_{i}=1$ (for creating the singleton heap) potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=c$
( as \#trees increases by 1 )
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=1+c=\Theta(1)$

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We use exactly the same potential function as in the previous version,
$\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times($ \#trees in the data structure after the $i$-th operation $)$,
where $c$ is a constant.
$\operatorname{Union}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ :
actual $\operatorname{cost}, c_{i}=1$ (for merging the two doubly linked lists ) potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=0$
( no new tree is created or destroyed )
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=1=\Theta(1)$

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We use exactly the same potential function as in the previous version,
$\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times($ \#trees in the data structure after the $i$-th operation $)$,
where $c$ is a constant.

Insert $\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{x}$ ):
Constant amount of work is done by Make-Heap and Union, and Make-Heap creates a new tree.

$$
\text { actual cost, } c_{i}=1+1=2
$$

potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=c$
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=2+c=\Theta(1)$

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We use exactly the same potential function as in the previous version,
$\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times($ \#trees in the data structure after the $i$-th operation $)$,
where $c$ is a constant.

## Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ):

Cost of creating the array of pointers is $\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1$.
Suppose we start with $t$ trees in the doubly linked list and perform $l$ link operations during the conversion from linked list to array version. So we perform $t+l$ work and end up with $t-l$ trees.

Cost of converting to the linked list version is $t-l$.
actual cost, $c_{i}=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1+(t+l)+(t-l)=2 t+\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1$
potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=-c \times l$

## Binomial Heap Operations with Lazy Union

We use exactly the same potential function as in the previous version,

$$
\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)=c \times(\text { \#trees in the data structure after the } i \text {-th operation })
$$

where $c$ is a constant.

## Extract-Min( $\boldsymbol{H}$ ):

actual cost, $c_{i}=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1+(t+l)+(t-l)=2 t+\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1$ potential change, $\Delta_{i}=\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right)=-c \times l$
amortized cost, $\hat{c}_{i}=c_{i}+\Delta_{i}=2(t-l)+\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1-(c-2) \times l$ But $t-l \leq\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+1 \quad$ ( as we have at most one tree of each rank )

So, $\hat{c}_{i} \leq 3\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+3-(c-2) \times l$
$\leq 3\left\lfloor\log _{2} n\right\rfloor+3 \quad($ assuming $c \geq 2)$
$=\mathrm{O}(\log n)$

## Binomial Heap Operations

| Heap <br> Operation | Worst-case | Amortized <br> (Eager Union ) | Amortized <br> (Lazy Union ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAKE- <br> HEAP | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| INSERT | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| MINIMUM | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |
| EXTRACT- <br> MIN | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ |
| UNION | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ | $\Theta(1)$ |

