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## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap

|  |  | Amortized I/O Bounds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Priority Queue | Delete / Delete-Min | Decrease-Key |
| Cacheoblivious | Buffer Heap | $\boldsymbol{O}\left(\frac{1}{B} \log _{2} \frac{N}{B}\right)$ |  |
| awa | Tournament Tree |  |  |
| Internal Memory | Binary Heap <br> ( worst-case ) | $\boldsymbol{O}\left(\log _{2} N\right)$ |  |
|  | Fibonacci Heap | $\boldsymbol{O}\left(\log _{2} N\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{O}(1)$ |

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Structure

Consists of $r=1+\left\lceil\log _{2} N\right\rceil$ levels, where $N=$ total number of elements.
For $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, level $i$ contains two buffers:
$\square$ element buffer $B_{i}$
contains elements of the form ( $x, k_{x}$ ), where $x$ is the element id, and $k_{x}$ is its key
$\square$ update buffer $U_{i}$ contains updates (Delete, Decrease-Key and Sink), each augmented with a time-stamp.


Fig: The Buffer Heap

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Invariants

## Invariant 1: $\left|B_{i}\right| \leq 2^{i}$

## Invariant 2:

(a) No key in $B_{i}$ is larger than any key in $B_{i+1}$
(b) For each element $x$ in $B_{i}$, all updates yet to be applied on $x$ reside in $U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{i}$

## Invariant 3:

(a) Each $B_{i}$ is kept sorted by element id


Fig: The Buffer Heap
(b) Each $U_{i}$ ( except $U_{0}$ ) is kept ( coarsely ) sorted by element id and time-stamp

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Operations

The following operations are supported:

- Delete(x):

Deletes the element $x$ from the queue.

- Delete-Min( ):

Extracts an element with minimum key from queue.

- Decrease-Key (x, $k_{\underline{x}}$ ): ( weak Decrease-Key )

If $x$ already exists in the queue, replaces key $k_{x}^{\prime}$ of $x$ with $\min \left(k_{x}, k_{x}^{\prime}\right)$, otherwise inserts $x$ with key $k_{x}$ into the queue.

A new element $x$ with key $k_{x}$ can be inserted into queue by Decrease-Key $\left(x, k_{x}\right)$.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Operations

Decrease-Key $\left(x, k_{x}\right)$ :
Insert the operation into $U_{0}$ augmented with current time-stamp.

Delete(x):
Insert the operation into $U_{0}$ augmented with current time-stamp.

Delete-Min( ):
Two phases:

- Descending Phase ( Apply Updates )
- Ascending Phase ( Redistribute Elements )
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## Delete-Min( ) - Descending Phase (Apply Updates ) :
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## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Delete-Min

## Delete-Min() - Descending Phase (Apply Updates ) :

1. sort updates:


- merge segments

$U_{2}$

$$
B_{k-1} \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc
$$

$$
\square \square \square \ldots \ldots \ldots . \square \boldsymbol{U}_{k-1}
$$

$\square$
■■ $U_{k+1}$
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## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Delete-Min

## Delete-Min( ) - Descending Phase ( Apply Updates ) :

| $B_{0} \quad \square$ |  | $U_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{1}$ |  | $U_{1}$ |
| $B_{2}$ |  | $U_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
| $B_{k-1} \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ | - ■ ■........... | $U_{\text {k-1 }}$ |
| $B_{k} \bigcirc 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0$ | - ■ - ........... | $U_{\text {k }}$ |
|  | - ■ ■............ | $\boldsymbol{U}_{\text {k+1 }}$ |
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## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Delete-Min

## Delete-Min() - Ascending Phase (Redistribute Elements ) :

$B_{k-1} \bigcirc \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet 0$
$\square \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{k}-1}$
$\square$
$\square \square \square . . .$.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Delete-Min

## Delete-Min() - Ascending Phase (Redistribute Elements ) :


$\square$
$B_{k-1} \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 0$
$\square \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{k}-1}$
$\square$
$\square \square \square$.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Delete-Min

## Delete-Min( ) - Ascending Phase (Redistribute Elements ) :

- $\longleftarrow$ element with minimum key



## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

Potential Function: $\Phi(H)=\frac{1}{B}\left(4 r\left|U_{0}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i)\left|U_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1)\left|B_{i}\right|\right)$


Fig: Major Data Flow Paths in the Buffer Heap

Lemma: A Buffer Heap on $N$ elements supports Delete, Delete-Min and Decrease-Key operations cache-obliviously in $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{B} \log _{2} N\right)$ amortized I/Os each using $\mathrm{O}(N)$ space.
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Lemma: A Buffer Heap on $N$ elements supports Delete, Delete-Min and Decrease-Key operations cache-obliviously in $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{B} \log _{2} N\right)$ amortized I/Os each using $\mathrm{O}(N)$ space.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Layout

- All $B_{i}$ 's are kept in a stack $S_{B}$.
- All $U_{i}$ 's are kept in a stack $S_{U}$.
- An array $A_{s}$ is maintained in a stack $S_{A}$. for $0 \leq i \leq r-1 A_{s}[i]$ contains:
$-\left|B_{i}\right|$
- number of segments in $U_{i}$
- number of updates in each segment of $U_{i}$

In both stacks lower level buffers are placed above higher level buffers. The left to right order of the elements in any buffer are maintained top to bottom in the stack.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: Reconstruction

After each operation check whether $\sum\left|U_{i}\right| \geq \sum\left|B_{i}\right|$, and if so,
Step 1: Sort the elements in $S_{B}$ by element id and level number.
Step 2: Sort the updates in $S_{U}$ by element id and time-stamp.
Step 3: Scan $S_{B}$ and $S_{U}$ simultaneously, and apply the updates in $S_{u}$ on the elements of $S_{B}$.

Step 4: Reconstruct the data structure by filling the shallowest levels with the current elements in $S_{B}$, and emptying $S_{U}$.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

Lemma: A BH supports Delete, Delete-Min, and Decrease-Key operations in $O\left((1 / B) \log _{2}(N / B)\right)$ amortized I/Os each assuming a tall cache.

## Potential Method:

Associates credit with the entire data structure instead of specific objects.

- $D_{0}=$ initial state of the data structure
- $\quad D_{i}=$ state of data structure after $i$-th operation, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$
- $\quad \Phi=$ a potential function mapping each $D_{i}$ to a real number $\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)$
- $\quad c_{i} / a_{i}=$ actual $/$ amortized cost of the $i$-th operation, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ Then $a_{i}=c_{i}+\Phi\left(D_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{i-1}\right) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}+\Phi\left(D_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(D_{0}\right)$
- $\quad$ define $\Phi$ so that $\Phi\left(D_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Phi\left(D_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-\Phi\left(D_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$
Thus the total amortized cost is an upper bound on the total actual cost.

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

Lemma: A BH supports Delete, Delete-Min, and Decrease-Key operations in $O\left((1 / B) \log _{2}(N / B)\right)$ amortized I/Os each assuming a tall cache.

Proof: We will use the Potential Method.

- Each Decrease-Key inserted into $U_{0}$ will be treated as a pair of operations: 〈Decrease-Key, Dummy〉.
- Each component of the actual cost will have a $\Theta(1 / B)$ factor associated with it which we will drop for simplicity.
- For $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, let $u_{i}=\left|U_{i}\right|$, and $b_{i}=\left|B_{i}\right|$.
- If $H$ is the current state of $B H$, we define potential of $H$ as:

$$
\Phi(H)=4 r u_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1) b_{i}
$$

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

## Amortized Cost of Reconstruction:- <br> $$
\Phi(H)=4 r u_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1) b_{i}
$$

## Starts with $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{i}$. Thus cost of steps 1 to 4 is $\leq 2 r \sum_{i=0} u_{i}$.

- Total potential drop is $\geq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}$.

$$
\text { Amortized cost of reconstruction is } \leq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}-2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}=0
$$

After each operation check whether $\sum u_{i} \geq \sum b_{i}$, and if so,
Step 1: Sort the elements in $S_{B}$ by element id and level number.
Step 2: Sort the updates in $S_{U}$ by element id and time-stamp.
Step 3: Scan $S_{B}$ and $S_{U}$ simultaneously, and apply the updates in $S_{u}$ on the elements of $S_{B}$.

Step 4: Reconstruct the data structure by filling the shallowest levels with the current elements in $S_{B}$, and emptying $S_{U}$.
$\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}$ cost of sorting $X$ elements $=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{X}{B} \log _{2} X\right) r r=\mathrm{O}\left(\log _{2} N\right)$

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

Amortized Cost of Reconstruction: $\Phi(H)=4 r u_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1) b_{i}$

- Starts with $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} 力_{i}$. Thus cost of steps 1 to 4 is $\leq 2 r \sum_{i=0} u_{i}$.
- Total potential drop is $\geq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}$.
- Amortized cost of reconstruction is $\leq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}-2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}=\mathbf{0}$. Amortized Cost of Delete:

Actual cost $=1$.
Increase in potential $=4 r$.

$$
\text { Amortized cost }=1+4 r=O\left(\log _{2} N\right) .
$$

## Delete(x) :

Insert the operation into $U_{0}$ augmented with current time-stamp. [Stack Push/Pop requires O(1/B) amortized I/Os each]

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

Amortized Cost of Reconstruction: $\quad \Phi(H)=4 r u_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1) b_{i}$

- Starts with $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{j}$. Thus cost of steps 1 to 4 is $\leq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i} \cdot$
- Total potential drop $i s \geq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}$ •
- Amortized cost of reconstruction is $\leq 2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_{i}-2 r \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}=\mathbf{0}$.

Amortized Cost of Delete:

- Actual cost $=1$.
- Increase in pot Decrease-Key $\left(x, k_{x}\right)$ :
- Increase in pot Insert the operation into $U_{0}$ augmented with current time-stamp.
- Amortized COS [Each Decrease-Key is considered as two operations]

Amortized Cost of Decrease-Key:
Actual cost $=2 \times 1$.
Increase in potential $=2 \times 4 r$.

- $\quad$ Amortized cost $=2+8 r=O\left(\log _{2} N\right)$.


## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

## Amortized Cost of Delete-Min <br> $$
\text { I/O cost of sorting } X \text { elements }=O\left(\frac{X}{B} \log _{2} X\right)
$$ <br> <br> I/O cost of sorting $X$ elements $=O\left(\frac{X}{B} \log _{2} X\right)$

 <br> <br> I/O cost of sorting $X$ elements $=O\left(\frac{X}{B} \log _{2} X\right)$} Actual Cost:$\square$ Cost of sorting $U_{0}$ is $\leq r u_{0}$.
Cost of examining the updates in $U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{k}(k-i+1) u_{i}$.
Cost of examining the elements in $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k-1}$ is $\sum_{i=0} b_{i}$.

- Let $b_{k}$ and $b_{k}^{\prime}$ be the number of elements in $B_{\nu}$ before and after the updates, respectively Then the total cost of exa after updates is $\max \left(b_{k}\right.$ I/O cost of scanning $X$ elements $=O\left(\frac{X}{B}\right)$
$k$ is the smallest level with non-empty $B_{k}$ after updates.
- Cost of accessing $A_{s}$ is

Thus actual cost, $c \leq r u_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}(k-i+1) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i}+\max \left(b_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)+r$

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

## Amortized Cost of Delete-Min:

Actual Cost:

- Cost of sorting $U_{0}$ is $\leq r u_{0}$.
- Cost of examining th I/O cost of selection from $X$ elements $=O\left(\frac{X}{B}\right)$
- Cost of examining the c.c.......... $0,-1, \cdots,-_{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{i}} \cdot$

Let $b_{k}$ and $b_{k}^{\prime}$ be the number of ele $A_{s}$ stores information on each the updates, respectively. buffer and has length $=\mathrm{O}(r)$
Then the total cost of examining $B_{k}$ पurn's upaaces ant serction after updates is $\max \left(b_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.
Cost of accessing $A_{s}$ is $\leq r$.
Thus actual cost, $c \leq r u_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}(k-i+1) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i}+\max \left(b_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)+r$

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

## Amortized Cost of Delete-Min:

$$
\Phi(H)=4 r u_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(3 r-i) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(i+1) b_{i}
$$

## Potential Drop:

$\square$ Potential drop due to changes in update buffers is


Potential drop due to changes in element buffers is

$$
\geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i}+\max \left(b_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus, total drop in potential is

$$
\geq r u_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}(k-i+1) u_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i}+\max \left(b_{k}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore, amortized cost of Delete-Min is

$$
\hat{c}=c+\left(\Phi\left(H_{\text {after }}\right)-\Phi\left(H_{\text {before }}\right)\right) \leq r=\mathrm{O}\left(\log _{2} N\right)
$$

## Cache-Oblivious Buffer Heap: I/O Complexity

We assume $N \gg M=\Omega\left(B^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\Rightarrow \log _{2} N=O\left(\log _{2} \frac{N}{B}\right)
$$

Therefore, under the tall cache assumption,
Amortized I/O cost of each operation
(Delete, Delete-Min and Decrease-Key) is $=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{B} \log _{2} \frac{N}{B}\right)$

## Removing the "Tall Cache" Assumption

- Restrict the size of each update buffer: $\left|U_{i}\right| \leq 2^{i}$
- Now all buffers ( $U_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ ) of the first $\log _{2} B$ levels occupy only $O(B)$ blocks.
- No external I/O is required to access the first $\log _{2} B$ levels.
- Thus amortized I/O cost of each operation is

$$
=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{B}\left(\log _{2} N-\log _{2} B\right)\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{B} \log _{2} \frac{N}{B}\right)
$$

